



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/690,426	10/17/2000	David S. Cortright	MCS-020-99	3341
27662	7590 04/09/2004		EXAMINER	
LYON & HARR, LLP			TRAN, LAMBERT L	
300 ESPLANADE DRIVE, SUITE 800 OXNARD, CA 93036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
011 11 11 12 , 01			2144	10
			DATE MAILED: 04/09/2004	12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	ſ	PRG			
	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/690,426	CORTRIGHT ET AL.			
, i.e., i.e.,	Examin r	Art Unit			
	Lambert L. Tran	2144			
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 25 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
b) Mention the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:				
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s): Art rejection of claim 9.				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: None.					

Claim(s) objected to: 9.

Claim(s) rejected: 1-8, 10-25. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_.

8. The drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

PRIMARY EXAMINER



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant argues the same issue which had been addressed in the Final Rejection. Applicant argues that the prior art of record Holtz (6,433,800) taught the representation of an action as an icon -- namely the task of addressing an email (Request for Reconsideration Page 3) in Holtz column 3, lines 8-10, quoted: "Icons representing the addressing of the e-mail message..." It is the Office contention that this passage and the entire Holtz's disclosure, in particular in column 6, lines 39-42, quoted: "An embodiment of the present invention may be implemented in conjunction with an address card program." Further, in Figure 3, Holtz disclosed object(s) 44. If (54) is a "mail to" option, then (44) is an address. If (44) is a "mail to" option, then (54) is an address. Holtz taught "addressing" [col. 3, lines 8-10], and icons (44) and (54) represent actions and/or objects (e.g., address). Since "addressing" inherently requires an address, thus it was taught.

In regarding the status of other dependent claims:

Claim 9 is objected to as being allowable if rewritten in independent form, additionally overcome recognized antecedent basis problems.

